## ASHEVILLE, N.C.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Western District of North Carolina

AUG 1 6 2019

W. DISTRICT COURT W. DIST. OF N.C.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	W
v.	)	
	)	Case No. 1:19-cr-00080-MR-WCM
James Gregory Finch	)	
Defendant	)	

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

**	Part I – Eligibility for Detention
Upon	ine /
	Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
	☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 Ù.S.Ć. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This Order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

## Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other personand the community because the following conditions have been met:		
	(1)	the Defendant is charged with with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
		(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
		□ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
		(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508); or
		(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
•		(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves: (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
	/m\	(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
	(2)	the Defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
	(3)	the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the Defendant has been convicted was committed while the Defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
	(4)	a period of not more than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the Defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

	rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the Defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the Defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:				
		(1)	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508);		
		(2)	an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;		
		(3)	an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;		
			an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581–1597) for which a maximum term o imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or		
		(5)	an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.		
	☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above ☐ the Defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.				
			OR		
		[	the Defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.		
	<b>D.</b> 7	_	Defendant Has Failed to Carry Defendant's Burden Under Rule 32.1(a)(6)		
		[	the Defendant was arrested for violating probation or supervised release. Under Rule 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1), the Defendant has not shown by clear and convincing evidence that the Defendant will not flee or pose a danger to any other person or to the community.		
			Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
hea	Aft ring,	er co	onsidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention Court concludes that the Defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:		
			By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.		
			By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the Defendant's appearance as required.		

In addition	to any findings made on the record at the hear	ring, the reasons for detention include the following:
	Weight of evidence against the Defendant i	s strong
	Subject to lengthy period of incarceration is	<del>-</del>
₽	Prior criminal history	
	Participation in criminal activity while on p	robation, parole, or supervision
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
₽	Lack of stable employment	
	Lack of stable residence	
	Lack of financially responsible sureties	
	Lack of significant community or family tie	es to this District
	Significant family or other ties outside the	
	Lack of legal status in the United States	
	Subject to removal or deportation after serv	ing any period of incarceration
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	
	Use of alias(es) or false documents	
	Background information unknown or unver	ified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or sup	ervised release
	Defendant waived detention hearing and the cause.	e Court granted an indefinite continuance of the hearing for
	Addendum containing findings will be filed	later.
2	NAL REASONS Significant mental health his take prescribed medications fendant consents to detention.	story included retusal to except when hospitalized
	Part IV – Directi	ons Regarding Detention
for confiner being held i with defens person in ch	ment in a corrections facility separate, to the e in custody pending appeal. The Defendant mu te counsel. On order of a Court of the United S	y General or to the Attorney General's designated representative xtent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or st be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation states or on request of an attorney for the Government, the ne Defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an
	15	
	August 14, 2019	0 0 0 1-11
	Date	F. Carlet Mileay
		W. Carleton Metcalf

United States Magistrate Judge